United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,133	03/16/2004	Dirk M. Anderson	2852-US-CNT	3740	
22932 IMMUNEX CO	IMMUNEX CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
LAW DEPAR				O HARA, EILEEN B	
SEATTLE, WA	COURT WEST A 98119		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/802,133	ANDERSON, DIRK M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eileen B. O'Hara	1646			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailling date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2007.				
·—	.,				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-24 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	a) accepted or b) objuict of accepted or b) objuict or abeyand the drawing (s) be held in abeyand the drawing (ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview S Paner No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/18/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 13-24 are pending and under consideration in the instant application. Claims 1-12 have been canceled and claims 13-24 have been added as requested by Applicant in the Paper filed Number 3, filed April 20, 2007.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, drawn to antibodies, in the reply filed on April 20, 2007 is acknowledged. Newly submitted claims 17-24 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: they are drawn to methods of interfering with RANKL signaling with a blocking antibody, which is a method of treatment, and would be classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example, which is a different class and subclass from that of the antibodies. The newly presented invention would also require a different search from that of the antibodies.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 17-24 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. Claims 13-16 are currently under examination.

Priority

3. This application filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the current status of the nonprovisional parent application 09/865,363. A statement reading "(now U.S. Patent No. 6,740,522)" should be included.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,133 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 13-16 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,522. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant invention are drawn to blocking antibodies to the RANKL protein of SEQ ID NO: 13, while the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,522 are drawn to generic antibodies to that protein. Some of the antibodies of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,522 would be blocking antibodies, and thus the instant claims are narrower in scope.

Conclusion

- 5.1 No claim is allowed.
- 5.2 Antibodies to the polypeptides of SEQ ID NO: 13 are free of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,133

Art Unit: 1646

The art considered pertinent to the present application is Boyle, WO 98/46751, Oct. 22, 1998 (cited by Applicant), which discloses a polypeptide identified as human osteoprotegerin, which is 100% identical to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 13 of the present application. This is **not considered** prior art, because the date of publication is after the priority date of the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nichol can be reached at (571) 272-0835.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to

Application/Control Number: 10/802,133

Art Unit: 1646

the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll

free).

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

EILEEN B. O'HARA
PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 5